ROBOTIC VIDEO-ASSISTED THORACOSCOPIC SURGERY USING CONVENTIONAL THORACOSCOPIC ACCESS
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SUMMARY

Video assisted thoracic surgery (VATS) has been widely used and confirmed to be effective and less invasive compared with conventional open surgery. Robotic video-assisted thoracic surgery (R-VATS) is VATS using a surgeon-controlled robotic system. R-VATS has been increasingly performed worldwide but not in Vietnam. We have started implementing r-VATS since July 2018, using conventional thoracoscopic accesses (trocars) and reported our initial results after 18 months of implementation with 116 cases. 57 cases of lobectomy, 9 cases of wedge resection, 19 cases of thymectomy, 28 cases of mediastinal tumour resection, 1 case of esophagectomy, 1 case of oesophageal leiomyoma resection, and 1 case of diaphragm plication. 110 cases had good outcomes with no complications, 5 cases suffered from haemothorax that lasted for more than 5 days. One patient died after 35 days due to pneumonia. The operation time was comparable to that of c-VATS. Average time to chest tube removal was 2 days. Time from surgery to discharge was comparable to that of c-VATS.

II. STUDY METHODS

The robotic system used in our centre is a Da Vinci System (U.S.A) with 4 arms. By using 4 arms, we have 5 ports for 5 trocars to introduce surgical equipment (arm 1, 2, 3), one trocar (12mm in the Si system) for camera and one trocar for human assistant. The assisting port is usually the 12-mm trocar through which the physician assistant could suction or introduce retractor to assist the main surgeon who would be sitting at the control table or put the stapler in. According the manufacturer’s recommendations and other surgeons worldwide, all trocars are placed in a linear line in the 8th intercostal space in both left and right sides. The assisting trocar is
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usually placed in the 9th intercostal space or below the costal margin (below the 10th rib). All trocars are covered to pump CO2 with a pressure of 8-10 mg into the thoracic cavity to deflate the lungs. At the end of the surgery, one of the trocar ports is extended to remove the diseased tissue (Figure 1A and B).

**Figure 1A.** Linear locations of trocars on the left chest wall. 1, 2, 3: robotic arms and respective equipment. Assist: assisting port, a 12mm-closed-trocar, the physician assistant uses this port to assist the surgery by providing needle, stitch, gauge, suctioning or introducing the contractor when necessary and this is also the port for stapler introduction to cut vessels, tissue or bronchi-trachea.

**Figure 1B.** Linear locations of trocars on the right chest wall
There are some authors that place the trocars in higher intercostal space (7\textsuperscript{th}) but also in a linear line (Figure 1C).

**Figure 1C:** trocar placed at 7\textsuperscript{th} intercostal space according to Cerfolio (Robert J. Cerfolio, MD, Ayesha S. Bryant, MD, and Douglas J. Minnich, MD. Starting a Robotic Program in General Thoracic Surgery: Why, How, and Lessons Learned. Ann Thorac Surg 2011;91:1729–37)

We only used 4 ports in r-VATS. In surgeries for thoracic diseases such as lobectomy, esophagectomy, oesophageal leiomyoma resection, posterior or one-sided mediastinal tumour removal, we placed the patient on his or her side. The trocars were placed in locations similar to c-VATS following the triangle principle, including 2 trocars for equipment (arm 1 and ), one trocar for camera and one was extended 1.5 cm from the beginning of the surgery using wound retractor to be the assisting port for physician assistant to suction or introduce the contractor to assist the surgeon during surgery. At the end of the surgery, this 1.5 cm-port was big enough to take the tissue through without further extension (Figure 2A and B). As we used wound contractor, the thoracic cavity was always open to the room air and CO2 insufflation could not be used. We deflated the lung required surgery by one-sided ventilation (the opposite side) with double-lumen endotracheal tube with assisting cuff (the third cuff) to navigate the tube precisely inside the desired side (Figure 3). The double-lumen endotracheal tube helped to deflate the lung well, the intubation was simple, effective, and precise.
Figure 2A. Locations of trocars in triangle shape following c-VATS for left thoracic surgery. 1,2: robotic arm 1 and 2 (only two arms are used). Wound retractor: the 1.5 cm-port with wound contractor through which the physician assistant could retract when necessary, suction, introduce equipment such as gauge, needle, stitch, etc, this is also the port to introduce stapler to cut vessel, tissue, and bronchotrachea.

Figure 2B. Locations of trocar in triangle shape following c-VATS for right thoracic surgery
Figure 3: Double-lumen endotracheal tube with 3 cuffs that help to navigate the tube precisely in one branch of bronchi to deflate one side of the lungs easily and effectively.

For surgeries in the anterior mediastinum such as removal of anterior mediastinal tumours, thymectomy for the treatment of myasthenia gravis (regardless of concomitant thymus tumour), we placed the patient in supine position and both lungs were ventilated during surgery but double-lumen endotracheal tube was used in case one-sided ventilation would be necessary. The trocar for camera was placed sub-xiphoid, two arms 1 and 2 were placed in two sides of the chest, the closed 12 mm – assistant trocar was placed on either left or right side. The closed system allowed the pump of CO2 to widen and clear the surgical field in the anterior mediastinum without deflating either side of the lungs (Figure 4).

Figure 4: Locations of trocars in surgeries in anterior mediastinum with one sub-xiphoid, CO2 insufflation and two-lungs ventilation during surgery. 1,2: robotic arms 1 and 2; Asst: assistant port, 12
mm-assistant-trocar, the physician assistant used this port to assist the surgery such as introducing needle, stitch, gauge, suction, retractor, as well as stapler when necessary.

Results: From 7/2018 to 1/2020, 116 patients were operated on including 66 men and 50 women. Specifically, there were 57 cases of lobectomy, 9 cases of wedge resection, 19 cases of thymectomy (regardless of thymus tumour), 28 cases of mediastinal tumours, 1 case of esophagectomy, 1 case of oesophageal leiomyoma resection, and 1 case of diaphragm plication (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of surgery</th>
<th>Number of cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Esophagectomy</td>
<td>01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oesophageal leiomyoma resection</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lobectomy</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wedge resection</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thymectomy (regardless of thymus tumour)</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removal of mediastinal tumour</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diaphragm plication</td>
<td>01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>116</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. The number of cases based on types of surgery

There were good outcomes in 110 cases including successful operation, no complications, mean time to drainage removal was 2 days. There were 5 cases that required prolonged drainage and were considered prolonged postoperative haemothorax. In these cases, the mean time to drainage removal was 6 days. After removal the drainage, patients were stable and discharged safely. One patient died due to hospital-acquired pneumonia. This patient was treated for severe pneumonia and died after 1 month. Although no scale was used, all patients reported low level of pain after surgery, quick recovery and satisfaction with r-VATS.

III. CONCLUSIONS

Since the 1990s, with the cooperation of NASA and Stanford University, the idea of using robotic surgery in space was proposed with a goal of remotely-controlled surgery. This idea was then commercialised and approved by FDA for civil use. AESOP (Computer Motion, Inc., Goleta, CA, USA) was the first company to use a pedal in combination with a remote control to create the Zeus control system, which was first designed for cardiac surgery and then applied for other surgeries. At the same time, the Da Vinci visual robotic system (Intuitive Surgical, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) with similar inventions also joined the market. In 2003, Da Vinci integrated computerised movements and visual surgery, which became the background for the only robotic surgical system commercially available to date (1,2). In 15 years, the number of robotic surgeries is rapidly evolving in many geographical regions. Thoracic surgeons have successfully applied robotic surgery in their field safely. Robotic surgery has become an option besides c-VATS, all of which improve quality of life more than open heart surgery (3). Over the past 5 years, the introduction of new robotic system such us Xi in 2014, the operation of
The robotic system has achieved significant advancements. Stapler is now integrated into a robotic arm, camera could be changed in different trocar ports similar to conventional endoscopic surgery. Robotic surgery has remarkable advances to solve challenging vascular cases – the factor that could complicate the surgery and is the most common reason for conversion to open surgery in endoscopic surgery (4).

According to the recommendations by the manufacturer as well as many authors, the trocars in robotic surgery should be placed in a linear line in one intercostal space except for the assistant port which is located one or 2 intercostal spaces lower (5). We applied the triangle shape used in conventional thoracoscopy and have shown that this approach was effective and help to reduce on port (only 4 trocars were used instead of 5). This approach allowed better movements of robotic arms due to its direct access to the surgical field. However, due to the principle of robotic arms, the angle formed by the first trocar port, camera and the second arm has to be at least 90°, the distances between trocars must be big enough, at least 4 phalanges (Figure 5) to allow easy movements of robotic arms and not prevent the manipulations of the physician assistant. The use of triangle principle in robotic surgery also helps surgeons to realise the similarities between c-VATS and r-VATS in both aspects of surgical field and surgical steps. This approach is also useful for the use of harmonic scalpel with arm 1 (the dominant hand of the surgeon) as this scalpel cannot be folded like other robotic tools. Reducing 1 port also helps to extend other ports to facilitate the surgery. Especially, the physician assistant will be more comfortable in assisting the surgeon and using assisting equipment such as stapler, all of which lead to shortened operation time.

Before our study, there are some authors also placed the trocars in a triangle shape but it was applied on 5 cases of lobectomy only (6). We applied this approach for all robotic surgeries performed in our hospital including lobectomy, esophagectomy, oesophageal leiomyoma resection, removal of mediastinal tumour, thymectomy (regardless of thymus tumour), diaphragm plication, etc.

**Figure 5:** The angle formed by trocar ports number 1 and 2 and camera must be at least 90°. The distances between trocars including wound retractor must be at least 4 phalanges.
We did not use air pump in lobectomy surgery, instead, we relied on single lung ventilation like thoracoscopy without robotic system and found that the dissection and resection of vessels or bronchi were convenient. With mediastinal tumour or oesophageal, CO2 pump could be use but with good lung deflation, we did not need additional CO2 pump. With anterior mediastinal tumour, the camera trocar was placed sub-xiphoid and the patient was placed in supine position, the surgery involved both sides of the chest so pressured pump to extend the cavity under the sternum while ventilating both lungs was necessary. This approach allowed the surgeon to think and operate confidently as if this was an open surgery via sternotomy. In the first cases, we approached from one side of the chest with one-lung-ventilation but we realised that the sub-xiphoid approach was more convenient so this approach was used since then.

IV. CONCLUSIONS:

r-VATS was safe, effective, and could be an alternative for c-VATS. The placements of trocars in a triangle shape similar to conventional endoscopic surgery could be applied to robotic surgery. Placing the camera sub-xiphoid was convenient for surgery of the anterior mediastinum such as thymectomy or removal of anterior mediastinal tumour.
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